

# **Efficacy outcomes of isatuximab with pomalidomide and dexamethasone are comparable to (ICARIA-MM) trial data: Initial results of a UK-wide real-world study of relapsed myeloma patients**

Faouzi Djebbari<sup>1\*</sup>, Grant Vallance<sup>1</sup>, Nanda Basker<sup>2</sup>, Fotios Panitsas<sup>3</sup>, Alexandros Rampotas<sup>1,4</sup>, Gina Sangha<sup>5</sup>, Beena Salhan<sup>6,7,8</sup>, Farheen Karim<sup>9</sup>, Firas Al-Kaisi<sup>10</sup>, Amy Gudger<sup>11</sup>, Loretta Ngu<sup>12</sup>, Matt Poynton<sup>13</sup>, Ho Pui Jeff Lam<sup>14</sup>, Lowri Morgan<sup>15</sup>, Laura Yang<sup>16</sup>, Jennifer Young<sup>17</sup>, Mairi Walker<sup>18</sup>, Ismini Tsagkariaki<sup>19</sup>, Laura Anderson<sup>20</sup>, Saleena Rani Chauhan<sup>21</sup>, Rebecca Maddams<sup>22</sup>, Lesley Stirton<sup>23</sup>, Margarita Triantafillou<sup>24</sup>, Steve Prideaux<sup>25</sup>, Abubaker Obeidalla<sup>26</sup>, Ceri Bygrave<sup>15</sup>, Supratik Basu<sup>27,28</sup> and Karthik Ramasamy<sup>1</sup>

\*Author responsible for correspondence: Dr Faouzi Djebbari, Department of Clinical Haematology, Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, OX3 7LE, Oxford, UK.  
Email: [Faouzi.Djebbari@ouh.nhs.uk](mailto:Faouzi.Djebbari@ouh.nhs.uk)

<sup>1</sup>Department of Clinical Haematology, Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Oxford, UK, <sup>2</sup>University Hospital Southampton, Southampton, <sup>3</sup>Department of Haematology, Laiko General Hospital, Athens, Greece, <sup>4</sup>Oxford University Clinical Academic Graduate School, <sup>5</sup>Milton Keynes Hospital, Milton Keynes, <sup>6</sup>Birmingham Heartlands Hospital, <sup>7</sup>Good Hope Hospital, <sup>8</sup>Solihull Hospital, <sup>9</sup>Royal Wolverhampton NHS Trust, <sup>10</sup>Royal Derby Hospital, <sup>11</sup>Queen Elizabeth Hospital, <sup>12</sup> Royal Devon & Exeter NHS Foundation Trust, <sup>13</sup>Royal Berkshire Hospital, <sup>14</sup> Guy's and St Thomas NHS Foundation Trust, <sup>15</sup>University Hospital of Wales, <sup>16</sup>University Hospitals Sussex NHS Foundation Trust, <sup>17</sup>Newcastle Upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, <sup>18</sup>University Hospital Crosshouse, <sup>19</sup>Stoke Mandeville Hospital, <sup>20</sup>Royal United Hospital Bath, <sup>21</sup>Worcestershire Acute Hospitals NHS Trust, <sup>22</sup>Poole Hospital, <sup>23</sup>Beatson Oncology Centre, Glasgow, <sup>24</sup>Manchester Royal Infirmary, <sup>25</sup>Great Western Hospital, <sup>26</sup>Wexham Park Hospital, <sup>27</sup>Royal Wolverhampton NHS Trust, <sup>28</sup>University of Wolverhampton.

**Short title:** Real-world data of IsaPomDex in relapsed myeloma

**Keywords:** relapsed myeloma, isatuximab, pomalidomide, real-world

**Funding:** Nil

**Objective:** Real-world data on the efficacy and tolerability of isatuximab with pomalidomide and dexamethasone (IsaPomDex) in relapsed/refractory myeloma (RRMM) patients are limited. In this UK-wide retrospective study, IsaPomDex outcomes were evaluated across 24 UK cancer centres. **Methods:** The primary endpoint was overall response rate (ORR). Secondary endpoints included progression-free survival (PFS), overall survival (OS) and adverse events (AEs). Patients were censored at the end of their follow up period, if they did not experience a PFS or OS event. A 3-month landmark analysis was conducted to assess the influence of pomalidomide and dexamethasone dose intensity, and of myeloma response on survival outcomes **Results:** In a total cohort of 107 patients, median follow up (IQR) was 3.7 months (0.5-12.4 months), median age (IQR) was 69 years (61-77); median (IQR) Charlson Co-morbidity Index (CCI) score was 3 (2-4), 61.7 % had CCI <4 and 80.4% had PS<2. Renal presentation (e-GFR<60 ml/min) in the total cohort was 43%; 28 patients had ISS III staging (from 85 patients with known data), 15 patients had high risk cytogenetics (from 62 patients with known data). Median (range) number of prior therapies was 3 (2-5). Prior therapies included transplant (60.7%), alkylator (99.1%), PI (99.1%), IMiD (100%), anti-CD38 (4.7%) and HDACi (3.7%). Median (IQR) number of IsaPomDex cycles administered was 4 (2-8). ORR in the total cohort was 61.7% with responses categorised as:  $\geq$ VGPR: 25.2%, PR: 36.5%, SD: 18.7%, PD: 14% and unknown: 5.6%. Median time (IQR) to objective response ( $\geq$ PR) was 2.67 months (1.6-4.6). Median PFS in the total cohort was 10.1 months (95% CI: 6.0-12.5). PFS survival probability at 3 months was 82.4% (95% CI: 72.7-88.9). Pomalidomide and dexamethasone doses were reduced in 40.2% and 41.1% of patients, respectively. Treatment is ongoing in 68.2% of patients and was discontinued in 31.8%. Reasons for treatment discontinuation were: death of any cause (14.9%), PD (14.9%) and toxicity (1.9%). Patients in the older subgroup and those with a higher co-morbidity burden achieved statistically insignificant differences in ORR: by age (<75: vs.  $\geq$ 75 years, p=0.448), and by co-morbidities (CCI <4 vs.  $\geq$  4, p=0.635). ORR was not statistically lower in the dose-attenuated cohort (full dose vs. Pom OR Dex ↓, Pearson chi<sup>2</sup> p=0.322; full dose vs. Pom AND Dex ↓, Pearson chi<sup>2</sup> p=0.214). There was no statistical difference in median PFS by age (<65 years: 10.2 vs. 65-74: not reached (NR) vs.  $\geq$ 75: 7.9 months, log-rank p=0.323), by co-morbidity score (<4: 9.0 months vs.  $\geq$ 4: NR, log-rank p=0.6339), or by e-GFR renal impairment ( $\geq$ 60: 11.2 vs. <60: 7.9 months, log-rank p=0.1259). The 3-month land-mark analysis, used to reduce the risk of survivorship bias, demonstrated no statistical difference in PFS according to dose intensity of pomalidomide (<4mg vs. 4mg, log-rank p=0.1162) or dexamethasone (<100% vs. 100%, log-rank p=0.2421), but there was a statistically improved PFS in those who achieved  $\geq$ PR response (log-rank p= 0.0005). Median OS for the total cohort was not reached (95% CI: 8.4-not estimable). OS survival probability at 3 months was 87.2% (95% CI: 78.5-92.6%) and at 6 months 75.1% (95% CI: 62.9-83.8%). Median OS was not reached for

any of the age subgroups (<65: NR vs. 65-74: NR vs. ≥75: NR, log-rank  $p=0.3422$ ), and for any co-morbidity subgroups (<4: NR vs. ≥4: NR, log-rank  $p=0.4654$ ). Any grade AEs was experienced by 87.9% of patients. The most common (>10%) any grade AEs were neutropenia (65.4%), thrombocytopenia (23.4%), infections (23.4%), anaemia (15.9%), and fatigue (10.3%). The total number of all ≥G3 AEs was 119; experienced by a total of 67 patients (62.6%). The most common (>10%) ≥G3 AEs were: neutropenia (45.8%), infections (18.7%) and thrombocytopenia (14%). The total number of all ≥G3 haematological AEs was 80; experienced by 57 patients (53.2%). **Conclusion:** To our knowledge, this is the first study to describe IsaPomDex outcomes in the real-world. It demonstrated encouraging ORR and PFS outcomes in RRMM in the routine care setting, and these were comparable to ICARIA-MM trial data. However, close monitoring and dose adjustments are required to manage toxicities. Longer follow up of patients in this cohort will enable a further assessment of these initial outcomes.